	Academic & Administrative Audit : 2024-25							
-		. Format-II	(Institutional Data Cum Academic Advisor's Grading)					
S. No.	Item	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)		
-			I-CURRICULAR ASPECTS					
A			Curricular Planning and Implementation					
1	Curriculum Design and Development ( For Autonomous Colleges)	Departmental meeting Minutes     BoS Minutes     Academic Council Minutes     Copies of course wise curriculum	Grade A, if 60% and above modification in syllabi of all cources offered; Grade B, if 40%-60% of modification in syllabi of all cources offered; Grade C, if less than 40% modification in syllabi of all cources offered;	A	Α	Very Good		
2	Implementation of Annual Institutional Plan	Annual Institutional Plan     College & Departmental Activity Register     Departmental Annual Curricular Plan     Teaching Diary	Grade A, if all the four records match with one another Grade B, if two records match with one another Grade C, if any two records match with each other	А	Α	Very Good		
3	Departmental Records to be verified at Institutional level	Sem wise Curricular Plans     Departmental Meeting Minutes     BOS records     Pos/PSOs/COs records     Extra curricular & Co curricular Activity reports	Grade A, if all the two records are maintained Grade B, if any four records are maintained Grade C, if any two records are maintained	А	Α	Very Good		
4	Details of Certificate Courses/ Value added Courses addressing cross cutting issues introduced in the last two Years	Department wise Reports of Certificate Courses / Value added Courses conducted addressing cross cutting issues	Grade A, if all Departments Conduct the courses Grade B, if 50% and above Departments Conduct the courses Grade C, if below 50% Departments conduct the course	А	В	Satisfactory		
В			Academic Flexibility & Curriculaum Enrichment					
5	Institution's contribution to enrichment of curriculum	Department wise Reports on cross cutting issues related to professional ethics, gender, human values, environment and sustainability into the curriculum;     Course wise reports from Departments indicating justification for revising/enriching the curriculum	Grade A, if all Departments produce all the reports Grade B, if 50% of the Departments produce all the reports Grade C, if below 50% Departments produce the reports	Α	Α	Very Good		
6	Implementation of Online Courses (MOOCs etc)	Departmental evidences of online courses with proofs (Reports and Certificates)	Grade A, if all Departments Conduct the courses Grade B, if 50% and above Departments Conduct the courses Grade C, if below 50% Departments conduct the course	Α	Α	Very Good		
7	Coverage of Syllabus (Average Percentage)	Department wise reports about coverage of syllabus	Grade A, if 90% and above syllabus is covered by the Departments Grade B, if 60% - 90% syllabus is covered by the Departments Grade C, if less than 40% - 60% and above syllabus is covered by the Departments	A	Α	Very Good		

S. No.	Item	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
		List of New UG & PG Programmes along with affiliation certificates	Grade A, if 2 or more programmes are introduced Grade B, if only one programme is introduced Grade C, if no programme is introduced	Α	Α	Very Good
С	years		Α			
9	Collection , Consolidation, Analysis and Action taken	students, faculty, alumni, and parents ;	Grade A, if all the two are done Grade B, if 1 (Collection and Consolidation of feedback) & 2 (Analysis) are done Grade C, if 1 (Collection and Consolidation of feedback) is done	А	Α	Very Good
	Overall Grade		and the state of t	Α	Α	Very Good
			II-TEACHING, LEARNING & EVALUATION			
A			Student Enrolment and Profile			
1	Average enrolment percentage for the last 3 years (Total no. of students admitted / Sanctioned Seats x 100)	Admission register from the office Consolidated Report from the Principal	Grade A, if two year reports are produced Grade B, if two year reports are produced Grade C, if only one year report is produced	А	В	Satisfactory
2	Demand Ratio (Group wise) (No.of applications received/ Sanctioned Seats) (Overall ratio)	Year wise report of the Principal	Grade A, if two year reports are produced Grade B, if two year reports are produced Grade C, if only one year report is produced	Α	В	Satisfactory
3	Percentage of seats filled for various reserved categories for the last 2 years (SC/ST/OBC/DIVYANGAN etc).  (Seats earmarked / Seats filled)	Year wise, Category wise report of the Principal	Grade A, if all the reports are maintained Grade B, if improperly maintained Grade C, if not maintained	. А	. А	Very Good
	Socio-economic status of students enroled for the last two years	Group wise and Year wise report prepared by the office and verified by the Principal	Grade A, if all the reports are maintained Grade B, if improperly maintained Grade C, if not maintained	А	A	Very Good
B	The second secon		Catering to Student Diversity			
		Consolidated statement about mechanism and list of	Grade A if all two are presented Grade P if any true			
	Institutional mechanism for assessing the learning levels of the students	by IQAC and verified by Principal (250-500 words)	(C, if any one is presented	A	Α	Very Good
	Details of special programmes organized by the departments for advanced learners and slow learners	Departmental Reports with proofs validated by IQAC and verified by Principal by the Principal	d Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit			
			reports	A	Α	Very Good

S. No.	Item		Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
7	Student - full time teacher ratio for the last 2 years  Total no. of students enrolled in the institution/  Total no. of full time teachers	Consolidated Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if all two are presented Grade B, if any two are presented Grade C, if any one is presented	А	Α	Very Good
C			Teaching Learning Process			
8	adopted	Department wise Reports on Experiential learning, Participative Learning, Problem Solving methods with model examples - validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports	А	Α	Very Good
9	Details of teachers using ICT enabled tools for effective teaching learning process	Lecturerwise and Department wise proofs validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports	А	Α	Very Good
10	Value based Activities such as SIP (Student Induction Programme) / Value Education/Gender Sensitisation/Managing Peer pressure conducted	Reports by Committees concerned	Grade A, if all activites conducted Grade B, if any 3 activities conducted Grade C, if any 2 activities conducted	А	А	Very Good
11	Conduct of Bridge course and Remedial Classes taken for slow learners (Subject Wise/Semester)	Reports by Departments validated by IQAC	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports	А	Α	Very Good
12	Ratio of Final year students undertaking field trip or project or internships (No.of students attending field trip or project/ Total No. of Final year students)	Reports by Departments validated by IQAC	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports	A	А	Very Good
13	Teaching Diaries & Teaching/Semester Plans in Prescribed Formats	Reports by Departments validated by IQAC	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports	А	A	Very Good
14	Use of ICT in teaching, learning and evaluation (Plickers, Kahoot, Web Quest etc.,) Lesson plans should reflect ICT based planning in teaching	Department wise ICT usage reports	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports	A	A	Very Good

S. No.	Item	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
15		Department wise Virtual Class reports Log books related to the Virtual Class rooms	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% Departments submit reports	А	Α	Very Good
16	No. of lessons presented (4 Quadrants) in LMS portal/ Presentation tube by Faculty	Reports by Departments validated by IQAC	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% of the Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% of the Departments submit reports	Α	Α	Very Good
17	No. of Teachers completed courses on MOOCS platform/ARPIT, MIT, Coursera etc.,	Course completion certificates by Departments	Grade A, if 75% of the Teachers submit Certificates Grade B, if 50% of the Teachers submit Certificates Grade C, if <50% of the Teachers submit Certificates	А	Α	Very Good
18	Co-Curricular Activities (Academic) (Student Seminars/Quizzes, debates/elocution, essay writing/ Projects/Peer Learning/ Group Learning/ Youth Parliament etc.,)	Reports by Departments validated by IQAC	Grade A, if 75% of the Departments submit reports Grade B, if 50% of the Departments submit reports Grade C, if <50% of the Departments submit reports	А	Α	Very Good
19	Academic, Cultural & Sports events organized (International/ National/ State/ District/ Inter Collegiate/ College Level	Reports by Departments and Committees validated by IQAC	Grade A, if International and National events are organized (at least 5) Grade B, if State level events are organized (at least 5) Grade C, if District and College level events are organized ( at least 5)	А	А	Very Good
20	The number of classes (per week) engaged by the Principal	Lesson Plans and Teaching Diary by Principals	Grade A, if both are maintained Grade B, if only one is maintained Grade C, if only classes are engaged	А	Α	Very Good
D			Teacher Profile Quality			
21	Staff Attendance	Staff Attendance Registers &TLP Records (Current Year)	Grade A, if 75% attendance through out the year Grade B, if 60% attendance through out the year Grade C, if <60% attendance through out the year	Α	Α	Very Good
22	Percentage of full time teachers against sanctioned posts in the last two years (Regular+ Contract + Guest)	Consolidated Report from the Principal	Grade A, if 100% full time teachers Grade B, if 50% full time teachers Grade C, if <50% full time teachers	А	Α	Very Good
23	Full time teachers with Ph.D	Consolidated Report from the Principal	Grade A, if 75% teachers with Ph.D Grade B, if 60% teachers with Ph.D Grade C, if <60% teachers with Ph.D	Α	В	Satisfactory
24	National/International/Regional Seminars/conferences/workshops organised in the last two years	Reports by Departments validated by IQAC	Grade A, if International and National events are organized (at least 5 per year) Grade B, if State level events are organized (at least 5per year) Grade C, if District and College level events are organized ( at least 5per year)	A	В	Satisfactory

-1-000 m

S. No.	Item	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
	Seminars/conferences/workshops attended in the last two years (Overall Staff Attended)	Reports by Departments validated by IQAC	Grade A, if International and National events are attended (at least 5 per year) Grade B, if State level events are attended (at least 5per year) Grade C, if District and College level events are attended ( at least 5per year)	А	А	Very Good
E			Evaluation Process and Reforms			
26	Mechanism of transparent and accurate internal assessment	2. Announcement of syllabus for exam (Department	Grade A, if all Departments produce all the reports Grade B, if 75% of the Departments produce all the reports Grade C, if below 75% Departments produce the reports	А	Α	Very Good
27	Record of Continuous Internal Assessment (CIA) - Conduct of Internal Examinations-Internal	1. Mid exams, Seminar Reports, Assignment books,	Grade A, if all Departments produce all the reports Grade B, if 75% of the Departments produce all the reports Grade C, if below 75% Departments produce the reports	A	Α	Very Good
28	Teacher wise result analysis (Last Two years)	Teacher/College wise reports	Grade A, if 100% Students pass percentage is achieved Grade B, if 75% Students pass percentage is achieved Grade C, if <75% fStudents pass percentage is achieved	А	А	Very Good
29	Student mentoring report (Year wise)	Teacher wise periodical Mentoring Reports	Grade A, if 100% Teachers maintain all records Grade B, if 75% Teachers maintain all records Grade C, if <75% Teachers maintain all records	Α	А	Very Good
F	Announcement of Programme	1.Department wise displays and announcements in the	Student Performance and Learning Outcomes			
30	Outcomes and Course Outcomes by the Departments	classrooms, in the institution and on the website 2. Registers showing POs, PSOs and COs alongwith the notification of announcement and the signatures of students	Grade A, if 100% Departments maintain all records Grade B, if 75% Departments maintain all records Grade C, if <75% Departments maintain all records	A	А	Very Good
31	Programme Outcomes and Course	Programme wise Report on the comparative analysis of Results, Students' progression to Higher Education and Employability	Grade A, if all Programmes are evaluated Grade B, if 75% Programmes are evaluated Grade C, if <75% Programmes are evaluated	A	A	Very Good

S. No.		tem	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
(	G			Student Satisfaction Survey			
32	C	Record of Feed back and analysis on Teachers by Students		Grade A, if all the two are done Grade B, if any two are done Grade C, if only one is done	А	A	Very Good
33	i i	Percentage of Students participated in Student Satisfaction Survey conducted at the end of each Academic Year (Programme wise) (Previous Academic Year)	Reports by IQAC	Grade A, if 80% of students participated Grade B, if 50% of students participated Grade C, if < 50% of students participated	А	А	Very Good
		Overall Grade			Α	Α	Very Good
			11	I-RESEARCH, INNOVATIONS AND EXTENSION			
	A			Promotion of Research and Facilities			
	.	College has a Recognized Research Centre, Centre for Innovation and Research Promotion Council	Sanctioned Letter Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal	Grade A, if Research Centre is functioning Grade B, if Research Centre is established Grade C, if there is no Research Centre	С	С	Not Satisfactory
	2	Research Guides in the College	Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal	Grade A, if > 50% are Research Guides Grade B, if > 30% are Research Guides Grade C, if < 30% are Research Guides	С	С	Not Satisfactory
	3	Research Scholars working for Ph. D	Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal	Grade A, if Active Research work is going on Grade B, if Research work is pending Grade C, if there is no Research work	С	С	Not Satisfactory
	4	Major/Minor/Other Research Projects Completed /Ongoing (During the last two years)	Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal	Grade A, if MRP submitted Grade B, if MRPis pending Grade C, if there is no Research Project	С	С	Not Satisfactory
-	В		_	Resource Mobilization for Research Purpose			
	5	Grants received from Govt & Non Govt Agencies for Research projects / endowments in the last two years	Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal	Grade A, if > 3 Lkh Grade B, if <3lkh Grade C, if there is no funding	С	С	Not Satisfactory

Item	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
the institution to the faculty members for participating/ presenting research papers in national/ international seminars/ workshops etc to foster research culture.	Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal	Grade A, if completely extended Grade B, if partially extended Grade C, if there is no funding	С	С	Not Satisfactory
The Institution has an ecosystem for innovation/Start ups including incubation centre and other initiatives for transfer of knowledge (Local innovations /Entreprenuership Hub)	Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal	Grade A if Centre for innovation, incubation and Entrepreneurship facility is established and facilitated atleast one start up; Grade B if only innovation facility is established Grade C if no such centre is established till now.	С	C	Not Satisfactory
		Research Publicaton and Awards			
Papers Presented for the last two years (International/ National/ State level conferences and seminars )	Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal	Grade A, if each Department publishes one paper Grade B, if 50% of Departments publish one paper each Grade C, if < 50% of Departments publish one paper each	В	В	Satisfactory
Books Published for the last two years ( Single Author/Co Author)	Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal	Grade A, if each Department publishes one book Grade B, if 50% of Departments publish one book each Grade C, if < 50% of Departments publish one book each	С	С	Not Satisfactory
in the UGC notified journals	Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal	Grade A, if each Department publishes one paper Grade B, if 50% of Departments publish one paper each Grade C, if < 50% of Departments publish one paper each	В	В	Satisfactory
No.of Indexed Publications (h&i)	Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal	Grade A if more than h-index is 2; Grade B if it is upto1; C if it is zero.	В	В	Satisfactory
volumes/Books and papers published in National and International conferences (with ISBN)	Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal	Grade A, if each Department publishes one book/ Chapter Grade B, if 50% of Departments publish one book each/ Chapter Grade C, if < 50% of Departments publish one book each/ Chapter	С	С	Not Satisfactory
Awards and Achievments for Research during the last two years	Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if more than 3 Awards are bagged Grade B, if 2 Awards are bagged Grade C, if one Award is bagged (Considering all two years)	С	С	Not Satisfactory
	Financial Assistance extended by the institution to the faculty members for participating/ presenting research papers in national/ international seminars/ workshops etc to foster research culture.  The Institution has an ecosystem for innovation/Start ups including incubation centre and other initiatives for transfer of knowledge (Local innovations /Entreprenuership Hub)  Papers Presented for the last two years (International/ National/ State level conferences and seminars )  Books Published for the last two years ( Single Author/Co Author)  No of Papers published per teacher in the UGC notified journals (SCOPUS, Web of Science, ICI ) for the last 2 years  No. of Books and Chapters in edited volumes/Books and papers published in National and International conferences (with ISBN)  Awards and Achievments for	Financial Assistance extended by the institution to the faculty members for participating/ presenting research papers in national/ international seminars/ workshops etc to foster research culture.  The Institution has an ecosystem for innovation/Start ups including incubation centre and other initiatives for transfer of knowledge (Local innovations /Entreprenuership Hub)  Papers Presented for the last two years (International/ National/ State level conferences and seminars)  Books Published for the last two years ( Single Author/Co Author)  No of Papers published per teacher in the UGC notified journals (SCOPUS, Web of Science, ICI) for the last 2 years  No. of Indexed Publications (h&i)  No. of Books and Chapters in edited volumes/Books and papers published in National and International conferences (with ISBN)  Awards and Achievments for  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal  Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal  Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal  Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal  Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal  Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal	Financial Assistance extended by the institution to the faculty members for participating/ presenting research papers in antional / international seminars/ workshops et to foster research culture.  The Institution has an ecosystem for innovation/Start ups including incubation centre and other mitiatives for transfer of knowledge (Local innovations / Entreprenuership Hub)  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Grade A, if Centre for innovation, incubation and Entrepreneurship facility is established and facilitated atteast one start up; Grade B If only innovation facility is established Grade C. if no such centre is established till now.  Research Publication and Awards  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Report by Research Commit	Financial Assistance extended by the institution to the faculty members for participating/presenting research papers in antional international seminary workshops etc to foster research collare.  The Institution has an ecosystem for innovation/Start ups including incubation centre and other initiatives for transfer of knowledge (Local innovations / Entrepreneurship Hub)  Feprincipal  Grade A. if Completely extended Grade B. if partially extended Grade C. if on such there is no funding  Grade A. if Completely extended Grade B. if partially extended Grade C. if on such there is no funding  Grade A. if Completely extended Grade B. if partially extended Grade C. if on such there is no funding  Grade A. if Completely extended Grade B. if partially extended Grade C. if on such extensive for transfer of knowledge (Local innovations)  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by the Principal  Feprence research grade B. if only innovation facility is established affacilitated add asted one start up; Grade B. if only innovation facility is established Grade C. if on such entire is established till now.  Feprence research grade B. if Solv of Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal  Books Published for the last two years (international National)  Books Published for the last two years (isingle Author/Co Author)  No of Fapers published per teacher in the UCG contriled Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal  Department wise Report by Research Committee Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the Principal  Department publishes one paper Grade B. if 50% of Department publishes one paper Grade B. if 50% of Department publishes one paper Grade B. if 50% of Department publishes one paper Grade B. if 50% of Department publishes one paper Grade B. if 50% of Department publishes one paper Grade B. if 50% of Department publishes one paper Grade B. if 50% of Department publishes one paper Grade B. if 50% of Department publishes one paper Grade B. if 50% of Departm	Records to be verified  Record to Record to the faculty  Report by Research Committee Coordinator, verified by  Report by Research Committee  Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the  Principal  Department wise Report by Research Committee  Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the  Principal  Department wise Report by Research Committee  Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the  Principal  Department wise Report by Research Committee  Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the  Principal  Department wise Report by Research Committee  Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the  Principal  Department wise Report by Research Committee  Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the  Principal  Department wise Report by Research Committee  Coordinator, validated by the IQAC and verified by the  Pr

S. No.	Item	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
D			Extension Activities			
		Report on Activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if > 10 activities are conducted Grade B, if > 7 activities are conducted Grade C, if < 7 activities are conducted	А	А	Very Good
	Number of extension programmes conducted in colloboration with Community/NGOs through RRC/NSS/NCC/Red Cross/YRC/Swachh Bharath/WEC etc.,	Report on Activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if > 10 activities are conducted Grade B, if > 7 activities are conducted Grade C, if < 7 activities are conducted	А	Α	Very Good
16	No. of Awards and Recognitions received for Extension activities from Government/ recognised bodies for the last 2 years	Report on Activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if more than 3 Awards are bagged Grade B, if 2 Awards are bagged Grade C, if one Award is bagged (Considering all two years)	А	Α	Very Good
	Average percentage of students and their details participating in extension activities with Government/Non-Government Organisations above mentioned (Programme wise for the last 2 years)	Detailed Report on Activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal (Government&Non Government seperately)	Grade A, if more than 3 Awards are bagged Grade B, if 2 Awards are bagged Grade C, if one Award is bagged (Considering all two years)	A	Α	Very Good
E		La Daniel de la Constantina del Constantina de la Constantina del Constantina de la	Consultancy and Collaboration  Grade A, if more than 25,000/- generated through consultancy	1		
18	Areas of Consultancy offered and Amount generated (during the last 2 years)	Department wise Report on Activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal     Audited Statements	Grade A, if more than 25,000/- generated through consultancy Grade B, if more than 10,000/- generated through consultancy Grade C, if less than 10,000/- generated through consultancy	С	С	Not Satisfactory

						Page 9
S. No.	Item  Details of collaborations/linkages	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
17	for				. ,,	
	A. Faculty Exchange		Grade A, if more than 2 Faculty members exchanged Grade B, if 1 Faculty member exchanged Grade C, if no Faculty member exchanged	A	Α	Very Good
	B. Student Exchange		Grade A, if more than 2 Students exchanged Grade B, if 1 Student exchanged Grade C, if no Student exchanged	А	Α	Very Good
	C. Internship	Department wise Report on Activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal     Properly signed MOUs	Grade A, if more than 10 Students attended Grade B, if 10 Students attended Grade C, if 5 Students attended	А	Α	Very Good
	D. Field trips	   Con   Gra	Grade A, if all Departments conducted Grade B, if 50% Departments conducted Grade C, if below 50% Departments conducted	A	Α	Very Good
	E. OJTs		Grade A, if more than 10 Students attended Grade B, if 10 Students attended Grade C, if 5 Students attended	А	Α	Very Good
20	Details of MOUs with national and international Institutions, Universities, Industries, Corporate houses etc	Properly signed MOUs     Department wise Report on follow up Activities     validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if all Departments have functional MOUs Grade B, if 50% Departments have functional MOUs Grade C, if below 50% Departments have functional MOUs	А	Α	Very Good
21	Whether the institution conducted workshop/seminars on Intellectual Property Rights (IPR), Industry- Academia Innovative practices	Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if 2 workshops/seminars are conducted Grade B, if 1 workshop/seminar is conducted Grade C, if no workshop/seminar is conducted	В	В	Satisfactory
	Overall Grade			A	A	Very Good
		IV - INFRASTRUCT	URE & LEARNING RESOURCES (Not covered under format - I)			
A	Details of Library Automation	Librarian's Report validated by IQAC and verified by	Details of Library as a Learning Resource Grade A, if 100% automation is done Grade B, if partially done			
1	a. Name of the iLMS software b. Status of automation c. Year and Version		Grade C, if not automated	А	Α	Very Good

Debuils of   Carebox   C	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good) B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	n/Suggestions by
Daily usage of Library by teachers and students (percentage)  Daily usage of Library by teachers and students (percentage)  Daily usage of Library by teachers and students (percentage)  Daily usage of Library by teachers and students make use of Library and Students make use of Library  Details of procedures for maintaining and utilizing physical and academic support facilities (Classrooms, Computers, Labs, Library and Sports Complex)  Expenditure incurred for the last two years on:  a) New Infrastructure Facility b) maintenance of facilities (Classrooms, Equipment, Sports, Auditorium & seminar halls etc. excluding salary component  Details of procedures for maintaining and utilizing physical and academic support facilities (Classrooms, Computers, Labs, Library and Sports Complex)  1. Finance Committee Meeting Minutes 2. Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal (Classrooms, Equipment, Sports, Auditorium & seminar halls etc. excluding salary component  Overall Grade  V-STUDENT SUPPORT AND PROGRESSION (Not covered under format - I)  Student Support  A  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal (Sports) and Students benefited by scholarships & free ships for the last two years  a) Government b) Institution C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal (Sports) and Students of Tables and Students make use of Library (A and Students seminar halls etc. (Classrooms, Computers and Students make use of Library (A and Students for the principal and Students for the Principal (Classrooms, Compute	A	Very Good
Details of procedures for maintaining and utilizing physical and academic support facilities (Classrooms, Computers, Labs, Library and Sports Complex)  Expenditure incurred for the last two years on a) New Infrastructure Facilities (Classrooms, Equipment, Sports, Auditorium & seminar halls etc.) excluding salary component  Overall Grade  Percentage of Students benefited by scholarships & free ships for the last two years on a) Government and maintenance and upgradation  In Infrastructure procurement and maintenance policy approved by the Principal Support showing the expenditure towards repairs, maintenance and upgradation  A Grade A, if Policy and Report are maintained Grade B, if only Policy or Report is maintained Grade C, if nothing is available  A Grade A, if Minutes and Report are maintained Grade B, if only Minutes or Report is maintained Grade C, if nothing is available  A Complex Support Support Support AND PROGRESSION (Not covered under format - I)  Student Support  A Corract Support  A Corract A, if benefit offered by all the 6 bodies Grade B, if benefit offered by a part of the par	<b>A</b>	Very Good
Details of procedures for maintaining and utilizing physical and academic support facilities (Classrooms, Computers, Labs, Library and Sports Complex)  Expenditure incurred for the last two years on: a) New Infrastructure Facilities (Classrooms, Equipment, Sports, Auditorium & seminar halls etc.) excluding salary component  Overall Grade  Percentage of Students benefited by scholarships & free ships for the last two years a) Government b) Institution c) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal c) Non - Governmental bodies  1. Infrastructure procurement and maintenance policy approved by the Principal and expenditure towards repairs, maintenance of acade A, if Policy and Report are maintained Grade B, if only Policy or Report is maintained Grade C, if nothing is available  A  A  A  A  A  A  A  A  A  A  A  A  A	_	
two years on : a) New Infrastructure Facility b) maintenance of facilities (Classrooms, Equipment, sports, Auditorium & seminar halls etc.) excluding salary component  Overall Grade  Overall Grade  V-STUDENT SUPPORT AND PROGRESSION (Not covered under format - 1)  Student Support  Fercentage of Students benefited by scholarships & free ships for the last two years a) Government b) Institution c) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and verified by Principal C) Non - Governmental bodies  Office Report validated by IQAC and v	А	Very Good
V-STUDENT SUPPORT AND PROGRESSION (Not covered under format - 1)  Student Support  Percentage of Students benefited by scholarships & free ships for the last two years  a) Government b) Institution c) Non - Governmental bodies  d) Non - Governmental bodies	А	Very Good
V-STUDENT SUPPORT AND PROGRESSION (Not covered under format - 1)  Student Support  Student Support  Percentage of Students benefited by scholarships & free ships for the last two years  a) Government b) Institution c) Non - Governmental bodies  d) Industries	A	Very Good
Percentage of Students benefited by scholarships & free ships for the last two years  a) Government b) Institution C; Non - Governmental bodies  c) Non - Governmental bodies  d) Industries  A  Grade A, if benefit offered by all the 6 bodies Grade B, if benefit offered by any 3 bodies Grade C, if benefit offered by 3 bodies  C A		
Percentage of Students benefited by scholarships & free ships for the last two years  a) Government b) Institution c) Non - Governmental bodies  A) Industries  A  Grade A, if benefit offered by all the 6 bodies Grade B, if benefit offered by A any 3 bodies Grade C, if benefit offered by 3 bodies  C  A) Industries	1	
a) Government b) Institution c) Non - Governmental bodies c) Non - Governmental bodies d) Industries d) Industries	A.	Very Good
any 3 bodies Grade C, if benefit offered by 3 bodies   C	A	Very Good
b) Institution  c) Non - Governmental bodies  A	С	Not Satisfactory
d) Industries	С	Not Satisfactory
	A	Very Good
	С	Not Satisfactory
e) Individuals f) Philanthropists	С	Not Satisfactory

S. No.	Item	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
2	Capacity building and skill enhancement initiatives taken up by the Institution during the last 2 years			А	A	Very Good
-	a) Soft Skills b) Language and Communication	Report by JKC and Physical Education Department	Grade A, if All the 4 areas are covered	A	A	Very Good
	Skills	validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade B, if any 3 areas are covered, Grade C, if any 2 areas are covered	А	А	Very Good
	c) Life Skills (Yoga, Physical Fitness/ Health and Hygiene)			А	Α	Very Good
	d) ICT/ Computer Skills Average Percentage of students			Α	Α	Very Good
3	benefited by guidance for competitive examinations and career counseling by the Institution during last 2 years (only final year students)	1.Records by the JKC and Departments 2. Proof of employment	Grade A, if more than 50% of students placed Grade B, if 30% of students placed Grade C, if less than 30% of students placed	А	Α	Very Good
4	Student grievances Redressal mechanism , No. of grievances received & Redressed during last 2 years	Student grievances Redressal Policy 2. Report by GRC incharge validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if all grievances are redressed as per the policy Grade B, if 50% of grievances are redressed as per the policy Grade C, if less than 50% of grievances are redressed as per the policy	А	Α	Very Good
5	Percentage of students participated and presented papers/ posters in the state level/national levels academic/research plafrorms out side the parent institution.		Grade A, if 50% of students participate Grade B, if 30% of Students participate Grade C, if less than 30% of Students participate	С	С	Not Satisfactory
6	mechanism for timely redressal of:  A.Sexual harassment	Anti ragging Policy and Policy to prevent Sexual harrassment     Committee(s) Report(s) about grievance redressal     Proofs and Meeting Minutes	Grade A, if All the 3 aspects are covered Grade B, if any 2 aspects are covered, Grade C, if only policies exist	Α	А	Very Good
7	5	WEC Meeting Minutes     Committee Report on activities     Report on Gender sensitisation and related activities	Grade A, if All the 3 are covered Grade B, if any 2 are covered, Grade C, if only WEC exists	Α	А	Very Good

S. No.	ltem	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
В			Student Progression	,		
8	Students' Progression to Higher	Department wise Reports with proof of Admission     Consolidated Report validated by IQAC & verified by     Principal	Grade A, if reports and proofs are available Grade B, if only reports are available Grade C, if no record is maintained	В	В	Satisfactory
9	Students' Progression to Employment	Department wise Reports with proof of Joining     Consolidated Report validated by IQAC & verified by Principal	Grade A, if reports and proofs are available Grade B, if only reports are available Grade C, if no record is maintained	В	В	Satisfactory
10	Students' Progression to Entrepreneurship	Department wise Reports with proofs     Consolidated Report validated by IQAC & verified by Principal	Grade A, if reports and proofs are available Grade B, if only reports are avilable Grade C, if no record is maintained	С	С	Not Satisfactory
11	Percentage of students qualifying NET/SET/GATE/GMAT/CAT/TOFEL/Civ il Services/ State Govt Examinations (Qualified students/Total No of students x 100)	Department wise Reports with proofs     Consolidated Report validated by IQAC & verified by Principal	Grade A, if reports and proofs are available Grade B, if only reports are available Grade C, if no record is maintained	с	С	Not Satisfactory
12	Feed back from Employees on Online Web Job Portal, APSSDC( AP State Skill Development Corporation), SSC ( Skill Sector Council)	Feed back consolidated Report from JKC	Grade A, if any 3 companies/ Employers offer feed back Grade B, if any 2 companies/ Employers offer feed back Grade C, if only 1 company/ Employer offer feed back	А	Α	Very Good
C			Student Participation and Activities			
13	No. of awards/medals for outstanding performance in sports/cultural activities (State/National/International/Uni versity levels only)	Consolidated Reports by the Committees, validated by IQAC & verified by Principal	Grade A, if International and National Level Grade B, if University and State level Grade C, if below State level	А	Α	Very Good
-	a) University Level	1		A	A	Very Good
	b) State Level	1		С	С	Not Satisfactory
-	c) National Level			С	С	Not Satisfactory
-	d) International Level	1		С	С	Not Satisfactory
14	Presence of an active Student Council & representation of students on academic & administrative bodies/committees of the institution	1. Committees List 2. Student Council Report	Grade A, if active student council exists along with reports Grade B, if there is only active student council Grade C, if nothing exists	А	Α	Very Good

NO.	ltem	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
15	in the Institution for the last 2 years	Committee reports validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if 5 events/ competitions organized at national level Grade B, if 5 events/ competitions organized at State level Grade C. if events at district level	А	Α	Very Good
16	Percentage of Student participation in sports and cultural events/ competitions for the last 2 years (Programme wise) No of students participated/Total No of students x 100	Committee reports validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if 50% of students participate Grade B, if 30% of Students participate Grade C, if less than 30% of Students participate	А	А	Very Good
D			Alumni Engagement			
17	Is there registered Alumni Association for the institution? If yes, year of registration and number	Registration certificate to be verified	Grade A, if Alumni Association is registered and active; Grade B, if Association is registered Grade C, if Association is not registered	А	Α	Very Good
	years	Alumni Register to be verified along with audited statements of amount (in cash/ Kind) donated	Grade A, if 2 lakhs and above Grade B, if 1 lakh above Grade C, if below one lakh	С	С	Not Satisfactory
10	Number of Alumni Association Chapters/ meetings held during the last 2 years	Meeting minutes     Consolidated reports	Grade A, if 4 and above Grade B, if 2 and above Grade C, if one and below	А	Α	Very Good
	Overall Grade			Α	Α	Very Good
	The second secon		VI-GOVERNANCE AND LEADERSHIP			
Α		N	Institutional Vision and Leadership			
1	Mechanism of achieving the Vision and Mission of the Insitution (Describe in 200 words)	Institutional Strategic Plan to achieve Vision and Mission with stage wise proofs	Grade A, if strategic plan is in action Grade B, if the mechanism is in planning stage Grade C, if nothing is available	А	А	Very Good
2	Explain the Insitutional Practices like de- centralization and participative management to show case effective leadership	List of Committees showing decentralization     Meeting Minutes and Action Plans by all committees	Grade A, if both are maintained Grade B, if only one is maintained Grade C, if only committees are constituted	А	А	Very Good
3	Staff Council Register (Minutes of meetings)	Meeting Minutes and Resolutions of Staff Council	Grade A, if Staff Council meets regularly and implements resolutions through meetings Grade B, if Staff Council resolutions properly recorded Grade C, if Staff Council is formed	А	A	Very Good
				11		

S. No.	Item	Records to 50 Tollinos	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
4	Implementation of OTLP	Crade report	Grade A, if the Institution achieves Grade A throughout the year Grade B, if the Insitution achieves Grade A ocassionally Grade C, if the Instituion achieves B & C grades	А	Α	Very Good
5	Number of RTI issues addressed	RTI file with applications, responses and registers	Grade A, if all the applications disposed within the stipulated time Grade B, if the applicatons are not disposed within the stipulated time Grade C, if record is not properly maintained	A	Α	Very Good
6	Organizational structure of the Institution	Organogram showing hierarchy and incharges	Grade A, if Organogram with hierarchy incharges prepared Grade B, if Only heirarchy is presented Grade C, if Organogram is not prepared	А	Α	Very Good
E			Institutional e - governance			
	Implementation of	Softwares and records to be verified	Grade A, if any 3 components exist Grade B, if any 2 components exist	A	Α	Very Good
	e - governance in	Consolidated report by Principal	Grade C, if nothing exist	А	Α	Very Good
	a) Administration			Α	Α	Very Good
	b) Finance and Accounts c) Student Admissions and support			Α	А	Very Good
	-,-			A	A	Very Good
	d) Examinations		Faculty Empowerment Strategies			
(			Grade A, if more than 75% staff are funded Grade B, if more than 50%			
1	Details of financial support to attend conference / workshop and membership for professional bodies for the last 2 years	Consolidated report by research committee validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal	staff are funded Grade C, if less than 50% staff are funded	А	А	Very Good
	Details of professional development / administrative training programs organized by the institution for teaching and non teaching staff during the last two years	e Consolidated report by research committee validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal	Grade A, if more than 5 programmes are organized Grade B, if more than 3 programmes are organized Grade C, if less than 3 programmes are organized	С	С	Not Satisfactory

						Page 13
S. No.	Item	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
	Details of teachers undergoing online/ offline faculty development programmes during the last two years (Professional Develelopment Programmes, Orientation / Induction Programmes, Refresher Course/ Short Term Course etc	Consolidated report by research committee validated by IQAC and Verified by Principal	Grade A, if more than 75% staff attend Grade B, if more than 50% staff attend Grade C, if less than 50% staff attend	Α	A	Very Good
	Details of performance appraisal system designed by instituion for Teaching and non- teaching staff	ASAR reports	Grade A, if more than 75% teachers are accreditated at "Good" grade Grade B, if more than 50 % teachers are accreditated at "Good" grade Grade C, if less than 50% teachers are accreditated at "Good" grade	Α	Α	Very Good
D		F	inancial Management and Resource Mobilization			
12	internal and external financial audits		Grade A, if both are maintained Grade B, if only external audit is conducted Grade C, if no audit record is maintained	А	Α	Very Good
13	Funds / Grants received from nongovernment bodies, individuals, philanthropists (other than research)	Consolidated Report verified by Princpal	Grade A, if more than Rs. 3 lakhs received Grade B, if more than Rs. 1 lakh received Grade C, if less than Rs. 1 lakh received	С	С	Not Satisfactory

S. No.	Item	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
E		A contract consequence at 1	Internal Quality Assurance System			
14	a. Strategies and Plan of Action b. Review mechanisms of Teaching learning process, methodologies	2. Action Plan 3. Minutes of Meeting	Grade A, if all the records are maintained Grade B, if the first four records are maintained Grade C,if any 3 records or below are maintained	Α	Α	Very Good
15	Participation in NIRF	Record of submission     Proof of Rank, if any.	Grade A, if ranked Grade B, if participated Grade C, if not participated	A	Α	Very Good
_	Overall Grade			Α	Α	Very Good
-		VII-	INSTITUTIONAL VALUES AND BEST PRACTICES			
A	Details of Activities by the	<del></del>	Activities that teach valules of the Institution	1		
1	Institution for  A) Promotion of gender equality and sensitiztion a) Safety and security b) Counselling c) Common Rooms for women students d) Details about sensitization activities	activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if all 4 reports are present Grade B, if any 3 are present Grade C, if any 2 or below	A	A	Very Good
	B) Environmental consciousness and sustainability a)Solar energy, b)Sensor-based energy conservation, c)Use of LED bulbs/ power efficient equipment	Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if all 3 reports are present Grade B, if any 2 are present Grade C, if any one is present	A	А	Very Good

Item	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)
a)Solid waste management b)Liquid waste management c)Lab Waste management d)E-waste management	activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal		A	Α	Very Good
		Grade A, if all 2 reports are present Grade B, if any one is present Grade C, if nothing is present	А	Α	Very Good
E) Green campus initiatives a)Plastic free campus(Ban on the use of Plastics) b)Botanical garden c)Green house d)Green landscaping e)Green audit f)Battery vehicles	Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	Grade A, if all 6 reports are present Grade B, if any 4 are present Grade C, if any 3 or below	A	Α	Very Good
			Α	Α	Very Good
		Initiatives for inculcating values			
)Cultural ii) Regional iii)Linguistic			Α	Α	Very Good
b) Human values and professional	focusing on details and activities	Grade A, if all 3 reports are present Grade B, if any 2 are present Grade C, if any one is present	С	С	Not Satisfactory
eachers	details validated by IQAC and verified by		A	۸	Very Good
	C) Waste Management a) Solid waste management b) Liquid waste management c) Lab Waste management d) E-waste management D) Water Conservation a) Rain water harvesting b) Borewell / Open well recharge E) Green campus initiatives a) Plastic free campus(Ban on the use of Plastics) b) Botanical garden c) Green house d) Green landscaping e) Green audit f) Battery vehicles F) Divyangan friendly barrier - free environment a) Ramps b) Railings e) Scribe for examination f) Provision of lift/Wheel chairs e) Alternative arrangements for Divyangan Cross cutting issues and value nitiatives a) Tolerance and harmony against everal diversities c) Cultural ii) Regional iii) Linguistic v) Communal e) Socio - Economic  D) Human values and professional ethics e) Code of condct for students and eachers	C) Waste Management a) Solid waste management b) Liquid waste management d) E- waste management d) E- waste management D) Water Conservation a) Rain water harvesting b) Borewell / Open well recharge E) Green campus initiatives a) Plastic free campus(Ban on the use of Plastics) b) Botanical garden c) Green house d) Green landscaping e) Green audit f) Battery vehicles F) Divyangan friendly barrier- free environment a) Ramps b) Railings a) Scribe for examination f) Provision of lift/Wheel chairs e) Alternative arrangements for Divyangan  Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  Approved Policy & Report focusing on details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  Approved Policy & Report on implementation with details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal  Approved Policy & Report on implementation with details and activities validated by IQAC and verified by Principal	O Waste Management a) Solid waste management b) Liquid waste management b) Liquid waste management b) E-waste management c) E-waste	Columbia   Columbia	Records to be verified  Records to be verified  Bench Marks  Bench Mar

S. No.	Item	Records to be verified	Bench Marks	Self assessment by the Institution	Grade by Academic Advisors (AA*) after verification (A(Good)/ B(Satisfactory)/C (to improve))	Recommendatio n/Suggestions by Academic Advisors (AA*)	
	International commemorative days		Grade A, if all 2 reports are present Grade B, if any one is present Grade C, if nothing is present	А	Α	Very Good	
3			Grade A, if all 3 reports are present Grade B, if any 2 are present Grade C, if any one is present	Α	Α	Very Good	
С	Best Practices	Record of two best/innovative practices by the institution					
	i.	i) Departmental Best Practices Reportin the NAAC     prescribed format     ii) Institutional Best Practice Report in the NAAC	Grade A, if all 2 reports are present Grade B, if any one is present Grade C, if nothing is present	А	Α	Very Good	
	ii.	prescribed format					
D			Institutional Distinctiveness				
	Explain how disctinct is your institution in academic and administrative practices:	Evidence with clear cut examples - Document in 200 words	Grade A, if Academic and Administrative practices are produced Grade B, if only one is produced Grade C, if no document is available	А	Λ	Very Good	
	Overall Grade			A	Λ	Very Good	
	College Grade - A						

Name & Signature of the

Principal:

Name & Signature of the Vi

Name & Signatures of the Academic Advisors

1 DY. M. SURYA SEKHAR REDOY
2
3 DY. S.V. RAMESH KUMAR
WERLINGTON

Note: This format is to be filled by the Principal and submitted to the Academic Audit Team.